


PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7 : G01B 21/20, 11/24, G06T 7/00, F01D 21/00		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/06969
			(43) International Publication Date: 10 February 2000 (10.02.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/16604		(81) Designated States: JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) International Filing Date: 22 July 1999 (22.07.99)		Published <i>With international search report.</i>	
(30) Priority Data: 60/094,443 28 July 1998 (28.07.98) US 09/353,986 15 July 1999 (15.07.99) US			
(71) Applicant: GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY [US/US]; 1 River Road, Schenectady, NY 12345 (US).			
(72) Inventors: NGUYEN, Van-Duc; 7 Hawthorne Circle, Albany, NY 12203 (US). COLLINS, Roderic, G.; 212 3rd Street (Apt.4), Troy, NY 12180 (US). NZOMIGNI, Victor; 1365 Van Antwerp Road, Niskayuna, NY 12309 (US). HAMILTON, Donald, W.; 196 Blue Barns Road, Burnt Hills, NY 12027 (US). MUNDY, Joseph, L.; 1249 Hawthorne Road, Schenectady, NY 12309 (US).			
(74) Agents: STECKLER, Henry, I. et al.; General Electric Company, 3135 Easton Turnpike W3C, Fairfield, CT 06431 (US).			

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

(54) Title: FINDING SHAPE DEFORMATIONS IN OBJECTS WITH TRANSFORMATION PARAMETERS AFTER REGISTERING



(57) Abstract

An imaging apparatus (10) for examining an object (12) having smooth surfaces is used to determine shape deformations in the surface of object (12). The imaging apparatus (10) includes an imaging device (20) for obtaining a scanned image of the object (12) to be examined. A reference image of the object is stored in a memory (30). An image register (24) is coupled to the imaging device (20) and to the memory (30) containing the reference image of the object (12). The image register (24) stores patch information corresponding to both the reference image and the scanned image. A transformation estimator (26) is coupled to the image register (24), and provides a transform for registering the scanned image (22) to the reference image (30). A deformation estimator (28) is coupled to the transformation estimator (26) and to the image register (24). The deformation estimator is configured to utilize the transform and the patch information to determine shape deformations of the object (12). For airfoils, such as turbine blade. A six point nest and a robust closest patch algorithm are used. Furthermore coordinate measurement machines (CMM), hard gauges, and non-contact range sensors.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

FINDING SHAPE DEFORMATIONS IN OBJECTS WITH TRANSFORMATION PARAMETERS AFTER REGISTERING

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority to Provisional Application No. 60/094,443,
5 entitled "Finding Shape Deformations in Airfoils or Generalized Cylinders,"
filed on July 28, 1998, which is incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to a method and apparatus for finding
shape deformations in objects having smooth surfaces and in particular, to a
10 method and apparatus for finding shape deformations in airfoils by registering
surface points on an airfoil to a computer assisted drawing (CAD) model and
analyzing and displaying principal modes of surface deformation to a user.

Currently, automated inspection is performed on manufactured parts such as
airfoils (e.g. turbine blades) to detect deformities in the part. For purposes of
15 this specification, deformities are defined to be deformations in the shape of
an object as compared to its ideal shape. In other words, deformations are
deviations in shape or form of an object from its manufacturing specifications.
Shape deformation can occur in a variety of modes (referred to herein as
deformation modes). Deformation modes include, but are not limited to,
20 skew, twist, scaling and translation. Forged blades, such as those for aircraft
engines, are currently inspected for platform orientation, contour cross-
section, bow and twist along stacking axis, thickness and chord length at
given cross-sections. One method of inspecting for shape deformations in
these deformation modes is through the use of specialized hard gages built
25 out from micro-meters, calipers, and shims. The hard gages measure a
plurality of defined contact points to characterize the blade that is used to
detect defects. While the inspection of using the hard gages is fast, the hard
gages provide only individual measurements at a few defined contact points.

In the alternative, blades can be fully scanned with coordinate measurement machines (commonly know as "CMMs") that translate and rotate a probe to sample points on the blade surface. CMMs provide dense measurements of the sample points, however the time to scan a blade is relatively slow. Once the dense surface points are collected, software processes these points into deviations to the CAD model and analyzes the deviations in terms of process-based shape deformations. Current processing software, however, is relatively slow.

Full-field non-contact range sensors can scan the external surfaces of the blade at 100x faster than CMMs. These non-contact range sensors, however, are 100x less accurate than the CMMs. Full-field non-contact range sensors are currently commercially available and include sensors based on laser line grating and stereo triangulation; single laser line scan plus rotating the part; and on phased-shift Moiré and white light.

CAD/CAM software exists, such as ValiSys, a quality assurance software product available from Tecnomatix Technologies Inc., and UniGraphics Solutions™, which can register the surface points scanned by non-contact range sensors to a CAD model. The CAD/CAM software, however, has disadvantages in that it does not allow registration to special features characteristic of the shape of an airfoil, such as a 6-point nest. The points of a six point nest are: 4 points on the leading edge of the airfoil, 1 point near trailing edge and 1 point on the platform. The 6-point nest is common in airfoil analysis, design and manufacturing because it weights more accuracy on the leading edge, which is critical for the desired airflow.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to an imaging apparatus for examining objects having smooth surfaces for shape deformations. The imaging apparatus includes an imaging device for obtaining a scanned image of the

object to be examined. A reference image of the object is stored in a memory. An image register is coupled to the imaging device and to the memory containing the reference image of the object. The image register stores patch information corresponding to both the reference image and the scanned image. A transformation estimator compares the scanned image to the reference image, and provides a transform which maps the scanned image to the reference image. A deformation estimator is coupled to the image register and to the transformation estimator. The deformation estimator decomposes the transformation parameters, based on patch information stored in the image register to determine shape deformations of the object.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Referring now to the drawings wherein like elements are numbered alike in the several Figures:

Figure 1 is a block diagram of an apparatus for finding shape deformations according to one embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 2 is a flowchart of the method, embodying the present invention, of finding and displaying the deformation of an airfoil;

Figure 3 is a flowchart of the method of registering scanned data points of the object and the CAD model;

Figure 4 is a diagrammatic view representative of the deviation between a planar patch of the CAD model and a planar surface of the object;

Figure 5 is a diagrammatic view representative of the deviation between a curved patch of the CAD model and a curved surface of the object; and

Figure 6 is a diagrammatic view illustrative of the Rodrigues' formula.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

For purposes of this specification, the following definitions of terms are provided. An image is defined herein to be a collection of data representing properties, design specifications, or attributes of an object. For example, a data collection which represents the 3 spatial dimensions (x,y,z) of an object is an image of the object. When such data are obtained by measuring the object and storing the measurements in memory, the stored measured data is referred to herein as scanned image 15. The data comprising a Computer Assisted Drawing (CAD) , or other engineering specifications in digitized format, relating to the shape of an object, are referred to herein as images of the object. CAD data comprising specifications for an object to which the object will be compared for purposes of flaw detection is referred to herein as a reference image.

An arrangement of data representing an image is referred to herein as an array. Each element of an array is referred to herein as a pixel. A pixel represents a measurement or property at a given position on the surface of the object being measured. For example, a pixel can represent measurements of the distance of the object from the measuring device.

Mapping is the process of relating pixels in one image to pixels in another image. One method of mapping is accomplished by fitting a function to control point locations. Control points are features located in the scanned image whose location on the object and its images are known. Control points may be located both in the scanned image and in the reference image.

A typical mapping process employs models to simplify the process. A model is a function which maps points in one image to points on a second image. A typical mapping technique defines a grid on the second image and maps only the grid points to the model. All other points are found by interpolation within the grid.

For purposes of this specification, a patch is defined to be a grid on the reference image which grid encompasses at least a portion of the surface of the imaged object. The dimensions of the patch depend on the order of the deformation model.

- 5 Pose is defined to be the spatial orientation of an object with respect to a given viewpoint.

Alignment, or pose correction, is defined as orientation of a first object with respect to a second object so as to make at least one alignment parameter of the first object, such as planar position or angular orientation, substantially
10 equal to the corresponding alignment parameter of the second object.

Registration is defined as the process of aligning a scanned image with a reference image. The registration process generally comprises two steps. The first step is to determine corresponding points, or features of the scanned image and the reference image. The second step is transforming the input
15 and reference image to a common coordinate system. The transformation to a common coordinate system is typically a geometric transformation and includes translations, rotations, and scale changes. The transformation step positions the two images with respect to one another so that corresponding points in the images represent the same point on the object. In summary,
20 registration involves orienting a first image with respect to a second image so as to make all alignment parameters of the first image the same as corresponding alignment parameters of the second image.

Alignment, or pose correction, is coarse, i.e., imprecise registration. For example, an alignment step for coarse relative positioning can be followed by
25 a registration step to achieve fine or more precise relative positioning.

Pose error is defined to be the difference between the position of the object as represented in the scanned image of the object, and the position of the object

as represented in the reference image of the object. In that sense, pose correction is repositioning the object such that the pose error is minimized.

Interpolation is defined to mean estimation of the values between two known values. The problem of accuracy is common to all transformations. On any
5 grid, any geometric transformation results generally in points that do not any longer lie on the original grid. Therefore, suitable algorithms are required to interpolate the values at transformed points from the neighboring pixels. The high demands for position accuracy make image interpolation critical.

Matching is defined to mean post-registration comparison of a reference
10 image with an scanned image, or vice versa, to determine differences which represent deviations of the scanned image from the reference image, or of the object's actual shape from it's ideal, or specified shape.

Figure 1 is a block diagram of an apparatus 10 for finding shape deformations according to one embodiment of the present invention. Apparatus 10 is
15 adapted to inspect and determine deformations of an object 12. Deformations may include tilt, bend, twist or warp in the surface shape of object 12 when compared to a CAD model or to other representations of the ideal configuration of object 12. In one embodiment of the invention, object 12 comprises a blade e.g., a turbine blade of an aircraft, having an airfoil 14
20 extending from a platform 16. While the following description is directed to inspecting airfoils such as aircraft engine blades, one skilled in the art will appreciate that the method may be used to find shape deformation in any object having smooth surfaces and a stacking axis generally similar to stacking axis 18.

The blade 12 to be inspected is positioned within the sensing range of an
25 imaging device 20. In one embodiment of the invention, imaging device 20 obtains scanned image 22 and stores it in an image register 24 for further processing at a later time. In another embodiment the imaging device 20

operates in real time. In yet another embodiment of the invention, the imaging device 20 is a hard gage comprising micrometers, calipers, and shims. The hard gage measures a plurality of defined contact points on the blade to characterize the blade.

5 In another embodiment of the invention, imaging device 20 is a coordinate measurement machine (CMM) which translates and rotates a probe around the blade 12 and records a plurality of contact points on the surface of blade. CMMs provide dense measurements of the contact points compared to hard gages. However, the length of time required to scan an object such as an
10 aircraft engine blade is longer.

Yet another embodiment of the invention employs a full-field non-contact range sensor as an imaging device 20. The range sensor scans the external surfaces of the blade 12 about 100 times faster than a CMM. Range sensors, such as range cameras, take images similar to ordinary cameras except that,
15 instead of measuring the visible light irradiated by an object, a range camera measures the distance from the camera to the surface of the object. Thus the image provided by a range camera is referred to as a range image. The embodiment illustrated in FIG. 1, imaging device 20 is a full field, non-contact, laser line grating range sensor mounted on a translation stage to acquire
20 surface data.

Full field, non-contact range sensors suitable for use as imaging devices 46 are currently readily available from commercial sources. For example, Integrated Automation Systems' (IAS) model 4DI sensors are employed in one embodiment of the invention. These sensors are based on laser line
25 grating and stereo triangulation. Another suitable range sensor is available from Cyber-Optics Co. These sensors are based on single laser line scan and rotation of the object. Other suitable range sensors are based on phased-shift Moire' and white light. One embodiment of the invention employs a plurality of range sensors mounted on translation stages to acquire

dense surface data, and further includes a rotation stage to rotate the blade 12.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize other imaging devices such as x-ray and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) devices can provide an scanned image 15 for use in accordance with the present invention. Accordingly, the invention is not intended to be limited to imaging devices which provide range images.

Three dimensional data are obtained from the blade 12 by scanning the blade 12 with imaging device 20. In one embodiment of the invention the data are stored in memory 22, and provided from memory 22 to a patch determining device 32.

A reference image is stored in a reference image memory 30. A reference image comprises digital data to which the scanned image 22 of blade 12 will be compared in order to detect deformations in blade. A reference image comprises ideal characteristics of blade 12 including ideal shape data. There exist several types of reference images suitable for use in the present invention. Among them are reference images created prior to scanning the object to be inspected, reference images derived from other products shaped similarly to the object to be inspected, reference images derived from known defect-free products, and reference images generated from design data, such as CAD models.

According to one embodiment of the present invention, patch determining devices 32 and 34 comprise processors programmed to portion the scanned image 22 and the reference image 30 into a plurality of surface areas, referred to herein as patches. A patch can include one or more sections of a grid of a CAD image, and portions thereof. In one embodiment of the invention, the number of patches into which the reference image 30 is portioned is manually selected by an operator. The more patches selected the smaller will be the

area of each patch, and conversely, the fewer patches selected the larger will be the area of each patch. Larger patches provide course registration of blade 12 to the reference image 30 and smaller patches allow for finer the registration of blade 12 to reference image 30.

5 In one embodiment of the invention, patch determining devices 32, 34 operate independently of the other elements of system 10. In other words, patch determining devices 32, 34 compute low curvature patches off-line and store the low curvature patches in the image register 24 for registration with object 12 at a later time. Based on the patch scale selected by the operator, the
10 reference image 30 is digitized at regular grid points on the CAD image grid which fall within each patch. In one embodiment of the invention, the local curvature of grid points within each patch is checked, and those patches containing grid points having curvature minima are retained. In one embodiment of the invention, a least square error technique is utilized to
15 check local curvature. Thus a set of low curvature patches is obtained for the selected scale. Each selected low curvature patch represents a low curvature surface portion of reference image 30. The scale may be varied by the operator such that a plurality of patch sets, each set corresponding to a different patch size, or scale, is obtained and stored. In this manner, course
20 to fine registration of scanned image of blade 12 to reference image 30 may be achieved quickly.

Data representing the patches are then stored in the image register 24. In one embodiment of the invention, each patch P_i is represented in the image register 24 by its center position p_i and its normal n_i . The patch determining
25 devices 32, 34 calculate a center point p_i for each low curvature surface patches P_i . In one embodiment of the invention, the center point p of a patch is defined to be the patch's center of mass. As those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize, other schemes for representing patches may be devised, including schemes which define center point differently, which remain within

the scope of the present invention. The patch determining devices 32, 34 are programmed to determine a vector n_i normal to each patch P_i at its center point p_i . Point pairs p_i, n_i are then stored in the image register 24.

Point pairs p_i, n_i are provided to the transformer estimator 26. Transformation estimator 26 analyzes a plurality of point pairs p_i, n_i . For each patch center point p_i , transformation estimator 26 determines a corresponding point q_i on a corresponding patch P'_i of scanned image 22. As previously stated, scanned image 22 comprises data representing measurements taken of the surface of blade 12.

The transformation estimator 26 includes a processor 36 that receives center location p_i and normal n_i for each of the patches P_i and determines where a geometric extension of the normal vector from point p_i from the reference will intercept the scanned image data. This location is termed q_i and defined to be the intersection point on the scanned image data from an extension of the normal of the i th patch of the reference image data. The processor 36 further minimizes each of the distances $d(P_i, P'_i)$ from the reference image patches to the scanned image patches. To determine the distance minimization, the transformation estimator 26 receives p_i, q_i and n_i for each patch P_i and determines the pose error between the reference image 30 and the scanned image 22. In one embodiment of the invention, the scanned image data are weighted according to pose error to compensate and minimize the pose error. The foregoing results in the registration of the reference image to the scanned image.

The transformer estimator 26 further includes a segmenter 38, an airfoil mapper 40, a platform mapper 42 and a constraint device 44. The processor 36 aligns and registers the scanned data points of the scanned image 22 with the CAD model. The segmenter 38 then separates the registered scanned data of the blade 12 into airfoil data and platform data. Each segment of data is provided to the respective airfoil mapper 40 and platform mapper 42 which

map the respective data points to the CAD model. The constraint device 44 adds leading edge constraints and platform constraints to the registration.

The output of the transformation estimator 26 is a transform (T) which maps registered scanned image 22 and registered reference image 30 to each other. The transform is provided to deformation estimator 28, which decomposes the transformation parameters into principal deformation modes of skew, scaling and translation for both the airfoil and its platform. The decomposed parameters are then provided to a display 45, which presents shape deformations to an operator in each deformation mode. This display allows the operator to correct the deformations of the object.

Referring now to Figure 2, the method of inspecting and determining shape deformations of an object 50, such as a turbine blade, in an exemplary embodiment of the invention is illustrated.

At step 52, the blade 12 is scanned by the imaging device 20 to obtain data points comprising scanned image 22 of the blade. In step 54, the processor 36 of the transformation estimator 26 aligns the centers of gravity and the moments of inertia of the surface data points of the blade 12 and a corresponding CAD model 30 of the blade. As a result of this alignment, the scanned data of the blade 12 is aligned with the CAD model 30 to give a large domain of convergence. Large is defined to be translation up to about +/- half the size of object 50, and rotation is up to about +/- 45 degrees.

In step 56, the scanned data points representing the blade 12 are registered to the CAD model 30 using robust least-square and low curvature patches. In one embodiment of the invention, robust registration of the data points using low curvature patches is performed by a Robust-Closest Patch algorithm (RCP) that accurately registers the data points to the CAD model, using all points on all visible and stable surfaces of the part. The RCP algorithm iteratively matches model patches to data surfaces based on the current pose

and then re-estimates pose based on these matches. In one embodiment of the invention, registration using RCP is driven by low curvature patches computed from the model off-line. The RCP algorithm uses an approximate normal distance between a patch and a surface to avoid the need to estimate local surface normal and curvature from noisy data. Pose is solved by a linear system in six parameters, using a symmetric formulation of the rotation constraint. The transformation estimator 26 estimates both the rigid pose parameters and the error standard deviation to provide robustness. The RCP algorithm will be described in greater detail hereinafter.

In step 58, the segmenter 38 segments the registered data points into the data points representative of the both the airfoil 14 and the platform 16, based on the proximity of the scanned data points to the CAD airfoil or platform. The platform 16 provides a reference for mapping the data points to the CAD model 30. In step 60, the platform mapper 42 maps the platform data points to the CAD model through a rigid-body transformation comprising three (3) translations and three (3) rotations. In step 62, the airfoil mapper 40 maps registered data points on the airfoil 14 to the CAD model 30 through a rigid-body transformation plus a simple deformation. The mapping is performed by linearly transforming the cross-section along the stacking axis 18 of the blade 12. This shape deformation includes six (6) more parameters that include two (2) bend or translation of the cross-section, two (2) for twist, opening/closing of the cross-section, and two (2) for bulge, scaling of the cross-section.

In step 64, the constraint device 44 adds leading edge constraints to the steps of registering the airfoil 14 to the CAD model 30. The leading edge constraints are derived from at least one, but preferably two, images received by a pair of cameras 46. In one embodiment of the invention, the airfoil 14 is back-lit to project a profile of the airfoil to the cameras 46.

The leading edge constraints approximate a six point nest of contacts. In other words, the added constraints fixture the leading edge with 4 point

contacts, which constrain 2 orientations and 2 locations. In step 66, platform x-point constraint is added to the registration, which is equivalent to 1 point contact on the platform 16, to zero-out the translation along the stacking axis 18. Low curvature patches on the airfoil 14 provide a point contact near the trailing edge of the airfoil.

Increasing the weights to these additional constraints converge to 6-point nest registration. This over-constrained system gives improved precision and accuracy than the 6-point contact provided in hard gages or CMMs.

In step 68, the rigid-body transformation and the process-based deformation is solved from the low-curvature patches and the additional constraints on the leading edge and platform. The data points of the rigid-body transformation and deformation are segmented, with data points segmented into the airfoil 14 and platform 16, so different constraints and transforms can be applied thereto. In one embodiment of the invention, a total of 12 transformation parameters comprising three (3) translations, three (3) rotations, and six (6) deformation parameters are found. Transformations are 4x3 matrices, with the last row being the translation.

In step 70, the twelve (12) transformation parameters are decomposed into a number of principal modes, such as translation, orientation, bend, twist, bulge, etc., wherein the most significant transformation parameter is decomposed first. To ensure the decomposition is unique and accurate, the effects of the principal modes are decomposed. In step 72, the effects of the principal modes are then displayed as gage measurements representative of the translation and orientation for platform gage, the bend for bow gage, twist for twist/warp gage, opening/closing for contour gage, and bulge for thickness gage.

As shown in FIG. 3 the scanned data points of the airfoil are finely registered to the CAD model. In one embodiment of the invention fine registration is

accomplished using an RCP algorithm. Generally, given an initial rigid pose, the RCP algorithm matches each scanned data point to its nearest point on the model surface, computes the 3D rigid transformation that best aligns these matches, and repeats these two steps using the most recently
5 estimated pose until convergence.

In one embodiment of the invention, pose is solved by singular value decomposition of a linear system in six parameters. Another embodiment of the invention is constructed from a linear and symmetric formulation of the rotation constraint using Rodrigues' formula rather than quaternions or
10 orthonormal matrices. An M-estimator estimates both the rigid pose parameters and the error standard deviation to ensure robustness to gross errors in the data. The RCP algorithm iterates two steps until convergence. First, the RCP algorithm matches model and data points based on the current pose estimate. Next, the RCP algorithm refines the pose estimate based on
15 these matches.

Much of the computation is done off-line by pre-computing low curvature patches. Given the approximate viewpoint, the model surface can be digitized at regular grid points on an image plane, local curvature patches retained, giving a set of regularly spaced patches. Each patch P_i is represented by its
20 center position p_i and its outward normal n_i , as shown in Figure 4.

To compute on-line a given range data set, the RCP algorithm 80 (shown in FIG. 3) translates the model patches to align the model's center of mass with that of the data in step 82. Initial rotation is given by the assumed view point.

In step 84, RCP algorithm 80 finds for each patch P_i the matching location q_i ,
25 by moving a matched filter, sized to P_i , along the line l_i , through p_i and parallel to n_i , searching for the nearest significant response from current location p_i , as shown in Figure 4. This estimates the piercing point of l_i with the implicit surface from which the data are measured without the expensive and noise

sensitive process of estimating surface parameters from the data. Match point q_i is a good approximation of the ideal match for p_i if the patch P_i has low curvature, as will be described in greater detail hereinafter.

5 In step 86, RCP algorithm 80 then estimates the incremental rigid transformation, $\Delta T = (R, t)$, by minimizing the sum of the squared, approximate normal distances (8) between model patches and data surfaces:

$$\min_{\omega, t''} \sum_i [((I - W/2)q_i - (I + W/2)p_i - t'')^T n_i]^2. \quad (1)$$

10 In this norm, error is calculated by rotating the data and model locations, q_i and p_i , each halfway toward the other, then taking the dot product of their difference along the model normal n_i . The least-squares pose minimization and its robust version will be discussed in greater detail hereinafter.

15 In one embodiment of the invention, instead of using a quaternion or a small angle approximation, rotation R is represented by the skew symmetric matrix W , which describes the cross-product between a 3-element rotation vector $\omega = 2 \tan \frac{\theta}{2} u$ and either p_i or q_i . θ is the angle and u is the unit vector of the axis of rotation. The rotation vector ω and translation t'' are solved directly from Equation (1) with singular value decomposition, and then ΔT is

$$R = (I - W/2)^{-1}(I + W/2) \quad (2)$$

$$t = (I + W/2)t''$$

20 In step 88, RCP algorithm 80 updates the positions and normals of the model patches and accumulates the incremental transformation in to the current pose:

$$p_i = R p_i + t$$

$$n_i = R n_i \quad (3)$$

$$T = \Delta T \times T$$

This entire registration process is embedded in a multi-resolution framework, using a few large patches at the coarsest resolution to eliminate the largest registration errors, and many small patches at the finest resolution for precise registration.

Steps 84, 86, and 88 are repeated until convergence and the data points are registered to the CAD model, as best shown in steps 90 and 92.

Figure 4 depicts flat patches P and P' to be registered. Patch P is disposed on the model surface, with mid point p and normal n defined a priori (CAD model). Patch P' is inferred from the range data as part of a larger planar surface with normal n'. Since the local patch P' has no detectable boundaries or landmarks, its midpoint p' can not be found by local features detection and matching. Instead, the location p' corresponding to point p is constructed to be the projection of p onto the infinite plane supporting patch P', along the average normal n:

$$\hat{u} = \frac{n+n'}{\|n+n'\|} = \frac{n+n'}{2 \cos(\alpha/2)} \quad (4)$$

$$\alpha = \arcsin(\|n \wedge n'\|)$$

The average of the two normals is meaningful if $n^T n' > 0$, which formalizes our assumption of rough alignment. Instead of constructing p' based on the average normal n, intersection points q and q' can be constructed by projecting p along the normal n and n' respectively. All three are related, and so the distance measure between a model patch P and a data surface containing patch P' can be constructed as:

$$d(P, P') = (p! - p)^T \hat{u}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= ((\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p})^T \mathbf{n}) (\cos \alpha / \cos(\alpha / 2)) \\
&= ((\mathbf{q}' - \mathbf{p})^T \mathbf{n}') / \cos(\alpha / 2) \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the patch P' is free to rotate and translate tangentially along its surface. The normal distance between point and surface is minimized, leading to faster convergence to the final pose than minimizing the Euclidean distance between model and matched points.

Figure 5 depicts curved patches P and P' to be registered. The local radius of curvature at the patches P and P' is represented by r . The \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{q}' are now on the curved patch P' , and are found from the mid point \mathbf{p} of patch P , along the normals \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{n}' respectively. The distance measure between a curved patch and a curved surface, is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(P, P') &= (\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p})^T \hat{\mathbf{u}} \\
&\approx ((\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p})^T \mathbf{n} + r \beta^2 / 8) (\cos \alpha / \cos(\alpha / 2)) \\
&\approx ((\mathbf{q}' - \mathbf{p})^T \mathbf{n}' + r \beta^2 / 8) / \cos(\alpha / 2) \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\beta \approx d(P, P') / r$$

Low curvature is defined herein to be a local radius of curvature r greater than the absolute normal distance $|d(P, P')|$. In particular, the curved arc between points \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{q}' makes an angle at the mid point \mathbf{p} , and angle β at the center of curvature of the patch P' . Using Taylor expansions and eliminating β , local curvature is shown to only add second order terms in α :

$$\begin{aligned}
d(P, P') &\approx (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p})^T \mathbf{n} (1 + (d(P, P') / r - 3) \alpha^2 / 8) \tag{7} \\
&\approx (\mathbf{q}' - \mathbf{p})^T \mathbf{n}' (1 + (d(P, P') / r + 1) \alpha^2 / 8)
\end{aligned}$$

The following approximate normal distance between low curvature patches and surfaces is used to avoid stimulating local surface normal and curvature from ranged data:

$$d(P, P') \approx (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p})^T \mathbf{n} \quad (8)$$

5 This approximation is valid when $\alpha = 0$ and $r > |d(P, P')|$.

Figure 6 illustrates the following formula of Rodrigues for determining the linear solution of pose:

$$\mathbf{p}' = (\mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{p} - (\mathbf{p}^T \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}) \cos \theta + (\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{p}) \sin \theta \quad (9)$$

10 Pure rotation by an angle θ around an axis is described by the unit vector \mathbf{u} , $\mathbf{p}' = R\mathbf{p}$. Rotating \mathbf{p}' back to \mathbf{p} requires reversing the sign of θ . Using this to form an equation similar to Equation (12), subtracting the two equations, then using the fact that $\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}$ is normal to \mathbf{u} and rearranging slightly yields the direct solution of rotation:

$$(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}) = \omega \times (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}')/2 \quad (10)$$

$$15 \quad \omega = 2 \tan \frac{\theta}{2} \mathbf{u}$$

20 The axis of rotation \mathbf{u} and the angle of rotation θ are all described in the 3-component vector ω with no additional constraints on it. The rotation vector ω has singularity at $\theta = \pi$, but no singularity at $\theta = 0$, unlike a quaternion. The singularity at $\theta = \pi$ is unavoidable since all rotations can not be represented using just three parameters.

When rotation and translation are both involved, $\mathbf{p}' = R\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{t}$. Substituting $\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{t}$ in place of \mathbf{p}' in Equation (13) yields the direct solution of the full pose:

$$(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}) = \omega \times (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}')/2 + \mathbf{t}'' \quad (11)$$

$$t'' = t - \frac{1}{2} \omega v t$$

$$\sigma = 1.483 \text{ median } \{|ri(\omega, t'')|\}, \quad (12)$$

The present invention is embodied in the form of computer-implemented processes and apparatuses for practicing those processes. Another
5 embodiment of the invention is computer program code containing instructions embodied in tangible media, such as floppy diskettes, compact disks such as CD-ROMs, hard drives, or any other computer-readable storage medium, wherein, when the computer program code is loaded into and executed by a computer, the computer becomes an apparatus for practicing
10 the invention. One embodiment of the present invention is in the form of computer program code whether stored in a storage medium, loaded into and/or executed by a computer, or transmitted over some transmission medium, such as over electrical wiring or cabling, through fiber optics, or via electromagnetic radiation, wherein, when the computer program code is
15 loaded into and executed by a computer, the computer becomes an apparatus for practicing the invention. When implemented on a general-purpose microprocessor, the computer program code segments configure the microprocessor to create specific logic circuits.

As described, the present invention is useful to compare old airfoils to new
20 ones, or to their CAD models, for improved diagnosis, repair, or rebuild of turbines. The applications would extend to all generally curved shapes including generalized cylinders. For generalized cylinders the intent is to find shape deformations along the axis of the generalized cylinder. Specialized tubings and pipes in engines are further examples of applications for the
25 present invention. This invention allows the replacement of expensive hard gages, customized to each blade model, by a Light Gage system that is programmable for all models.

The invention can also be used to register and find deformations in bones, blood vessels, or the spinal cord, to fuse MR, CT, ultrasound data, or to correspond patient data to an atlas. This will allow better visualization and accurate localization of tumors in image-guided surgery.

- 5 While preferred embodiments have been shown and described, various modifications and substitutions may be made thereto without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Accordingly, it is to be understood that the present invention has been described by way of illustrations and not limitation.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. An apparatus 10 for finding shape deformations in objects comprising:

an imaging device 12 for obtaining a scanned image of said object;

a memory 30 adapted to store a reference image of said object;

5 an image register 24 coupled to said imaging device 12 and to said memory 30, said image register 24 configured to store patch information corresponding to said reference image 30 and said scanned image 22;

10 a transformation estimator 26 coupled to said image register 24, said transformation estimator 26 adapted to provide a transform for registering said scanned image 22 to said reference image 30;

a deformation estimator 28 coupled to said transformation estimator 26 and to said image register 24, said deformation estimator 28 configured to utilize said transform and said patch information to determine shape deformations of said object 12.

15

2. The apparatus 10 of claim 1 wherein said transformation estimator 26 includes a constraint device for adding leading edge constraints to said transform.

20 3. The apparatus 10 of claim 1 further comprising at least two patch determining devices 32,34, at least one patch determining device 32 coupled to said imaging device 20 and at least one patch determining device 34 coupled to said memory for storing said reference image 30, said patch determining devices 32,34 coupled to said image register, said patch

determining devices configured to store patch information relating to said scanned image and said reference image.

4. The apparatus 10 of claim 1 wherein said imaging device 20 is selected from the group comprising: coordinate measurement machines (CMM), hard
5 gauges, and non contact range sensors.

5. The apparatus 10 of claim 1 wherein said leading edge constraints include the points of a six point nest.

6. The apparatus 10 of claim 1 wherein said object 12 is an airfoil.

7. A method of finding shape deformations in an object, the method
10 comprising the steps of:

obtaining a digitized scanned image of said object;

providing a digitized reference image of said object;

registering said scanned image with said reference image;

obtaining rigid body transformation parameters of said registered images;

15 decomposing said transformation parameters to determine shape deformations of said object.

8. The method of claim 7 wherein said reference image is a Computer Assisted Drawing (CAD) model of said object.

9. The method of claim 7 wherein the step of registering comprises aligning
20 the data points with said reference image.

10. The method of claim 7 wherein the step of registering comprises registering said scanned image with said reference image.

11. The method of claim 10 wherein the step of finely registering uses robust least-square and low curvature patches.

12. The method of claim 4 wherein wherein the step of finely registering uses points on all visible surfaces of said object.

5 13. The method of claim 5 wherein the step of finely registering comprises iteratively matching model patches to data points of said scanned image.

14. The method of claim 7 wherein said object is an airfoil.

15. The method of claim 7 wherein the said rigid-body transformation parameters include three translations and three rotations.

10 16. The method of claim 7 wherein said transformation parameters comprise two translations of a cross-section, a translation for opening a cross section, a translation for closing a cross-section, and two translations for scaling of a cross-section.

15 17. The method of claim 7 wherein said transformation parameters are decomposed into a plurality of deformation modes.

18. The method of claim 17 wherein said deformation modes include translation, orientation, bend, twist and bulge.

20 19. The method of claim 17 further comprising the step of displaying shape deformation information relating to said deformation modes as gage measurements.

20. A storage medium encoded with machine-readable computer program code for modeling an object an object comprising instructions for causing a computer to implement a method comprising the steps of:

obtaining a digitized scanned image of said object;

providing a digitized reference image of said object;

registering said scanned image with said reference image;

obtaining rigid body transformation parameters of said registered images; and

decomposing said transformation parameters to determine shape

5 deformations of said object.

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5





FIG. 6



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter national Application No

PCT/US 99/16604

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 G01B21/20 G01B11/24 G06T7/00 F01D21/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G01B G06T F01D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 715 166 A (GM) 3 February 1998 (1998-02-03) column 1, line 35 - line 45; figure 1	1,7,20
A	US 5 627 771 A (TOYOTA) 6 May 1997 (1997-05-06) column 3, line 19 - line 48	1,7,20
A	US 5 521 847 A (GE) 28 May 1996 (1996-05-28) column 2, line 7 - line 22; figure 1	1,7,20
A	US 5 208 763 A (HONG ET AL.) 4 May 1993 (1993-05-04) column 2, line 32 - line 56	1,7,20
	--- -/-- ---	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

14 October 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

22/10/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Mielke, W

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter. Appl. No.
PCT/US 99/16604

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5 047 966 A (TEXTRON) 10 September 1991 (1991-09-10) column 2, line 39 - line 59 ---	1,7,20
A	US 4 908 782 A (TEXTRON) 13 March 1990 (1990-03-13) column 1, line 52 - line 65 -----	1,7,20

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 99/16604

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5715166 A	03-02-1998	JP 6044361 A	18-02-1994
US 5627771 A	06-05-1997	JP 7021238 A	24-01-1995
		DE 69417631 D	12-05-1999
		EP 0631108 A	28-12-1994
US 5521847 A	28-05-1996	NONE	
US 5208763 A	04-05-1993	WO 9205399 A	02-04-1992
US 5047966 A	10-09-1991	NONE	
US 4908782 A	13-03-1990	CA 1210869 A	02-09-1986
		EP 0126576 A	28-11-1984
		JP 59221606 A	13-12-1984

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.